

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,785	11/21/2003	Edward Paul Carlin	9432	2937
27752	7590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
	TER & GAMBLE CO	EVANS, CHIVONNE LAURIE		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			3761	
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224	DATE MAIL ED. 11/01/000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ther

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/719,785	CARLIN, EDWARD PAUL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chivonne L. Evans	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 /	November 2003.				
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	.,,				
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔯 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/ 03/25/2004</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schoelling US 2002/0151859. With regards to claims 1-3, in Paragraph 0009 Schoelling discloses a tampon made of compressed fibrous material with an insertion end, a recovery end, a longitudinal, and an outer surface with longitudinal grooves and it is shown in Figure 1, that the surface grooves (recesses) approaching the insertion end comprise a width x (larger than y) closes to tip of the tampon, and a width y (smaller than x) as the width of the grooves decreases. With regards to claims 4 and 6, the surfaces, grooves or ribs taught by Schoelling are in a spirally shaped *pattern*, as shown in Figure 1 and stated in Paragraph 0009. The tampon taught by Schoelling can either have a uniform density over a cross-section or ribs that extend radially outward (varying density), with a highly compressed core (Paragraph 0009) with regards to claims 7-9. Also, there is a withdrawal member shown in Figure 1, 35 as well as a finger indent on the recovery end of the tampon, Paragraph 0040 with regards to claims 10-11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3761

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoelling US 2002/0151859 in view Leutwyler et al. (5911712). Schoelling teaches the invention substantially as claimed however Schoelling lacks recesses that are parallel to the longitudinal axis. Leutwyler discloses in Figure 1 longitudinal recesses that are parallel to the longitudinal axis. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to compose the tampon taught by Schoelling with recesses parallel to the longitudinal axis as taught by Leutwyler to assist the flow-direction of the fluid transported onto the tampon via the user; creating a leak preventing, absorbent environment.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3761

6. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10719258. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the recessed portion claimed in this application automatically creates a surrounding raised portion with the dimensions and shape corresponding to that of the recessed portion.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art relevant to this application is cited in the Information Disclosure Statement disclosed by the applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chivonne L. Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-8686. The examiner can normally be reached on between 6:30-3:30, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chivonne L'Evans Examiner

Art Unit 3761

ce

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER